

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENTOF United States Patent and Trademan Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENT P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov COMMERCE Office

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 5879 10/649,498 08/26/2003 Volker Weber GP-303381 EXAMINER 7590 06/25/2004 JIANG, CHEN WEN

Laura C. Hargitt General Motors Corporation Legal Staff 300 Renaissance Center Detroit, MI 48265-3000

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3744

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/649,498	WEBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ 3)□ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	· •
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to restriction. 	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Example 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc prection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	 .	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030826 	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/649,498

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the

Application/Control Number: 10/649,498

Art Unit: 3744

following is required: Claims 2 and 4 recite the limitation "the defroster nozzle" in line 4 of claim 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

2. The following rejections are based on the best understanding of the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suenner et al. (DE19813093) in view of King et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,422,062).

Suenner et al. disclose a vehicle air-conditioning system receiving values from solar temperature sensor and interior temperature sensor. Figs. 1 and 2 show the sensor unit it's mounting. However, Suenner et al. do not disclose the unit contains a moisture sensor. King et al. disclose an integrated sensor in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of defrosts control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Suenner et al. with a sensor in view of King et al. so as to have an integrated unit.

5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kishita et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,884,497).

Kishita et al. disclose an automotive air conditioner. The control unit comprises indoor air temperature sensor 91, solar radiation sensor 93 and a humidity sensor 95. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrally

Art Unit: 3744

the sensors in one unit, since it has been held to be within the general skill of worker in the art to make plural parts unitary as a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965); In re Lockart, 90 USPQ 214 (CCPA 1951).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2 and 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner